## DS-GA 1014 - Homework 10

## Eric Niblock

November 15th, 2020

1. (2 points). Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $\overrightarrow{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We consider the least square problem:

> $minimize$  $\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{y}$  ||<sup>2</sup> with respect to  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

We know from the lecture that  $\overrightarrow{x}^{LS} \stackrel{def}{=} A^{\dagger} \overrightarrow{y}$  is a solution of the above.

(a) Show that  $\vec{x}^{LS} \perp Ker(A)$ .

We know that we can express A and  $A^{\dagger}$  as the following,

$$
A = U\Sigma V^T
$$
  
\n
$$
A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma^{'}U^T
$$
\n(1)

Now, we know from previous work that the first r columns of  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}}_1, ..., \overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}}_r$ , form a basis for the image of A, and the last  $m-r$  rows of  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ,  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}_{r+1}, \dots, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}_m$ , form a basis for the kernel of A. Furthermore, for  $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ , we must have that  $v_i \perp Ker(A)$ , since the rows of an orthogonal matrix V are all orthogonal.

We know that  $\vec{x}^{LS}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_r$ , since these vectors form a basis for the image of  $A^{\dagger}$ , as shown from (1), and we know that  $\vec{x}^{LS}$  is in the image of  $A^{\dagger}$  from the statement. Since  $\vec{x}^{LS}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_r \perp Ker(A)$ , it is clear that  $\vec{x}^{LS} \perp Ker(A)$ .

## (b) Deduce that  $\vec{x}^{LS}$  is the solution of the least square equation that has the smallest (Euclidean) norm.

We know that the full set of solutions to the minimization problem is given by,

$$
\{A^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} + \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \mid \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \in Ker(A)\}\tag{2}
$$

Since we have that  $\vec{x}^{LS} \perp Ker(A)$ , we also have that,  $A^{\dagger} \vec{y} \perp \vec{v}$  for all  $\vec{v} \in Ker(A)$ . Now, take an alternate solution from the set,  $\vec{x}^* = A^{\dagger} \vec{y} + \vec{v}$ . Our goal is to show that,

$$
||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}^{LS}|| < ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}^{*}|| \tag{3}
$$

$$
||A^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}|| < ||A^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} + \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}|| \tag{4}
$$

But since  $A^{\dagger} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} \perp \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}$  for all  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \in Ker(A)$ , we can write,

$$
||A\dagger \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}|| < ||A\dagger \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} + \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}|| = ||A\dagger \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}|| + ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}||
$$
 (5)

Which is clealy true. Therefore,  $\vec{x}^{LS}$  is the solution of the least square equation that has the smallest (Euclidean) norm.

2. (2 points). Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $\overrightarrow{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ . The Ridge regression adds a  $\ell_2$ penalty to the least square problem:

minimize  $\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{y} ||^2 + \lambda ||\overrightarrow{x}||^2$  with respect to  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

for some penalization parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that the above admits a unique solution given by

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}^{Ridge} = (A^T A + \lambda Id_n)^{-1} A^T \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}
$$

We define  $f(\vec{x}) = ||A\vec{x} - \vec{y}||^2 + \lambda ||\vec{x}||^2$ . We know that  $f(\vec{x})$  is convex because the sum of convex functions in convex. Therefore, it must admit a minimum. Then, we have that,

$$
\nabla f(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}) = A^T (A\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}) + \lambda \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \tag{6}
$$

We then set the gradient equal to zero and solve for  $\vec{x}$ . This yields,

$$
A^T A \vec{\mathbf{x}} - A^T \vec{\mathbf{y}} + \lambda \vec{\mathbf{x}} = 0 \tag{7}
$$

$$
A^T A \vec{\mathbf{x}} + \lambda \vec{\mathbf{x}} = A^T \vec{\mathbf{y}} \tag{8}
$$

$$
(A^T A + \lambda I d_n) \vec{\mathbf{x}} = A^T \vec{\mathbf{y}} \tag{9}
$$

Then, we know that  $(A^T A + \lambda Id_n)$  is invertible for some choice of  $\lambda > 0$ , because as a previous result, we had that, for any symmetric matrix M, there exists  $\lambda > 0$ such that the matrix  $M + \lambda Id_n$  is positive definite. We know that  $A<sup>T</sup>A$  is symmetric, and therefore, there is some  $\lambda > 0$  which forces  $(A^T A + \lambda Id_n)$  to be positive definite. Furthermore, any positive definite matrix is invertible. So, for some choice of  $\lambda$  we have,

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}^{Ridge} = (A^T A + \lambda Id_n)^{-1} A^T \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} \tag{10}
$$

- 3. (3 points). Recall that  $||M||_{Sp}$  denotes the spectral norm of a matrix M.
	- (a) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . Show that for all  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$
||A\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}|| \le ||A||_{Sp}||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}||
$$

Since we know that every  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ , with orthogonal matrices U and  $V^T$ , and diagonal matrix  $\Sigma$ , we have that,

$$
A^T A = V \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T = V \Sigma^{(2)} V^T \tag{11}
$$

Where  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  holds the square of the diagonal values of  $\Sigma$ , and hence the eigenvalues of  $A^T A$ . Then,

$$
||A\vec{\mathbf{x}}|| = \vec{\mathbf{x}}^T A^T A \vec{\mathbf{x}} \tag{12}
$$

Since  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and orthogonal, we have that all  $\vec{x}$  can be expressed as a combination of the columns of  $V$ . So,

$$
||A\vec{\mathbf{x}}||^2 = \vec{\mathbf{x}}^T A^T A \vec{\mathbf{x}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i^T\right) A^T A \left(\sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i\right)
$$

$$
= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i^T\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i (A^T A \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i)\right)
$$

$$
= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i^T\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i \lambda_i \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i^2 \lambda_i \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i^T \vec{\mathbf{u}}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} c_i^2 \lambda_i
$$
(13)

And therefore, we are entitled to write,

$$
\lambda_{min} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} c_i^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} c_i^2 \lambda_i = ||A\vec{\mathbf{x}}||^2 \le \lambda_{max} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} c_i^2 \qquad (14)
$$

Where the first part of the equation merely served as a point of comparison. Then, taking the square root,

$$
||A\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}|| \le \sqrt{\lambda_{max} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} c_i^2} = ||A||_{Sp} ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}|| \tag{15}
$$

(b) Show that for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ :

$$
||AB||_{Sp} \le ||A||_{Sp}||B||_{Sp}
$$

From the previous result, we know if we take some  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $||\vec{u}|| = 1$ , then we have,

$$
||AB\vec{u}|| \le ||A||_{Sp}||B\vec{u}|| \le ||A||_{Sp}||B||_{Sp}||\vec{u}|| = ||A||_{Sp}||B||_{Sp} \qquad (16)
$$

So, we have, for any  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $||\vec{u}|| = 1$ ,

$$
||AB\vec{u}|| \le ||A||_{Sp}||B||_{Sp} \tag{17}
$$

Now if we take the value of  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $||\vec{u}|| = 1$  which maximizes  $||AB\vec{u}||$ , this is precisely the definition of the spectral norm of  $||AB||$ . Thus,

$$
||AB||_{Sp} \le ||A||_{Sp}||B||_{Sp} \tag{18}
$$

(c) Is it true that for all  $n, m, k \geq 1$ , all  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ :

$$
||AB||_F \leq ||A||_F ||B||_F
$$

Give a proof or a counter-example.

First, note that,

$$
||AB||_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}_i^T \overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_j)^2
$$
 (19)

Where  $\overrightarrow{a}_i^T$  and  $\overrightarrow{b}_j$  are the *i*-th row and *j*-th column of A and B, respectively. By Cauchy-Shwartz, we see that,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}_{i}^{T} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_{j})^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}_{i}||^{2} ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_{j}||^{2}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}_{i}||^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_{j}||^{2}
$$

$$
= ||A||_{F}^{2} ||B||_{F}^{2}
$$
(20)

So, summarizing,

$$
||AB||_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}_i^T \overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_j)^2 \le ||A||_F^2 ||B||_F^2
$$
 (21)

## 4. (3 points). Consider the  $5 \times 4$  matrix A and  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^5$  given by:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & -2.3 & 1.7 & 4.5 \\ 1.7 & 1.6 & 3.8 & 0.3 \\ 1.0 & 0.1 & 1.3 & 0.2 \\ -0.5 & -0.4 & 0 & -1.3 \\ -0.5 & 2.9 & -0.3 & 2.0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} -13.8 \\ -2.7 \\ 9.6 \\ -2.4 \\ 3.9 \end{bmatrix} \tag{22}
$$

In each of the following questions, it is intended that you solve the problem using the programming language of your choice and only report the numerical answer to 3 decimal places, without including your code files in your submission.

(a) Compute the minimizer  $\vec{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^4$  of

$$
||A\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}||
$$

We find during our use of Python that,

```
import numpy as np
A = np.array([[1.1,-2.3,1.7,4.5],[1.7,1.6,3.8,0.3],[1,0.1,1.3,0.2],[-0.5,-0.4,0,-1.3],[-0.5,2.9,-0.3,2]])<br>y = np.array([-13.8,-2.7,9.6,-2.4,3.9])
A.T@A
```

```
array([[ 5.6 , -0.96, 9.78, 5.31],<br>[-0.96, 16.43, 1.43, -3.53],
           [9.78, 1.43, 19.11, 8.45],<br>[5.31, -3.53, 8.45, 26.07]]inv = np.linalg.inv(A.T@A)
```
 $xmin = inv@A.T@y$ print('X\* is given by: ', xmin)

X\* is given by: [14.07409328 3.60631035 -7.87274476 -1.74716021]

(b) Find a vector  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^5$  with  $v_1 > 0$  and  $||\vec{v}|| = 1$  such that the minimizer of

$$
||A\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} - (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} + \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}})||
$$

is also  $\overrightarrow{x}$ <sup>\*</sup>.

Here, we find the solution for vector  $\vec{v}$ , and check out result,

```
import scipy.linalg
v = \text{scipy.linalg.null\_space(A.T)}print('v is given by: ', v.T[0])
```
v is given by: [ 0.03521722 -0.26599821 0.78205836 0.51802759 0.21917308]

And then we check our solution and find we yield the same minimum as the previous part,



The new minimum is given by: [14.07409328 3.60631035 -7.87274476 -1.74716021]

(c) Find a vector  $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^5$  with  $w_1 > 0$  and  $\|\vec{w}\| = 1$  such that the minimizer  $\overrightarrow{x}$  of

$$
||A\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} - (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} + \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}})||
$$

maximizes the error  $\|\overrightarrow{x}^{*}-\overrightarrow{x}^{'}\|$  and also give the resulting error. That is, we are trying to corrupt the vector  $\overrightarrow{y}$  with a fixed amount of noise  $\vec{w}$  that maximally modifies the least squares solution.

We find the solution given below, and then we check this solution programmatically,

 $inv = np.linalg.inv(A.T@A)$  $\begin{array}{rcl} \n\text{A} & = & \text{A} \\ \n\text{A} & = & \text{A} \\ \n\text{A} & = & \text{A} \\ \n\end{array}$  $M = Adag.T@Adag$  $l, v = np.linalg.eigh(M)$ print('w is given by: ',-1\*v[:,-1])

w is given by: [ 0.17718915 0.17894147 -0.52073406 0.80126178 0.15296916]

Here, we check the solution using 10,000 random vectors of unit norm as a comparison, ensuring that each random vector produces a norm less than our theorized maximum norm.

```
from random import gauss
def make_rand_vector(dims):<br>vec = [gauss(0, 1) for i in range(dims)]<br>mag = sum(x**2 for x in vec) ** .5<br>if vec[0] < 0:<br>vec[0] = -1*vec[0]<br>return np.array([x/mag for x in vec])
```

```
maxnorm = np.linalg.norm(inv@A.T@y - inv@A.T@(y+v[:, -1]))maximum = intrinsic<br>
for in range(10000):<br>
t = make\_rand\_vector(5)<br>
if np.linalg.norm(inv@A.T@y - inv@A.T@(y+t)) > maxnorm:<br>
print(np.linalg.norm(inv@A.T@y - inv@A.T@(y+t)))<br>
print(np.linalg.norm(inv@A.T@y - inv@A.T@(y+t)))
                print(t)break
        if i = = 9999:
              print('Success!')
```
Success!