

DS-GA 1014 - Homework 3

Eric Niblock

September 21th, 2020

1. (2 points). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

(a) Show that if $A = \alpha Id_n$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then for all $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ we have $AB = BA$.

Given that we have the definition,

If A is some $n \times n$ matrix, then

$$AId_n = Id_nA = A \tag{1}$$

[Def. 1]

Then, we can directly show the equality,

$$AB = BA \tag{2}$$

$$(\alpha Id_n)B = B(\alpha Id_n) \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha(Id_nB) = \alpha(BId_n) \tag{4}$$

And by *Definition 1*, we have, $Id_nB = BId_n = B$, so,

$$\alpha B = \alpha B \tag{5}$$

Thus we have shown that if $A = \alpha Id_n$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then for all $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ we have $AB = BA$.

- (b) **Conversely, show that if for all $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ we have $AB = BA$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A = \alpha Id_n$.**

Given that $AB = BA$, we choose $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $B = e_i e_j^T$. This makes B a matrix such that if B is formed of elements $b_{i,j}$ then B is 1 in the (i, j) element, and 0 everywhere else. Furthermore, we use the notation that A_i is the i -th column of the matrix A , and $A^{(j)}$ is the j -th row of matrix A . Then, we have,

$$AB = A(e_i e_j^T) = A_i e_j^T \quad (6)$$

$$BA = (e_i e_j^T)A = e_i A^{(j)} \quad (7)$$

$$A_i e_j^T = e_i A^{(j)} \quad (8)$$

The left side of this equation is a matrix with the i -th column of the matrix A , in its j -th column. The right side of the equation is a matrix, of the same size, with the j -th row of A in its i -th row. Comparing these two matrices, which must be equal, implies that all $a_{i,j} = 0$ unless $i = j$. Therefore, it is evident that only the diagonal of A are nonzero. Even more so, the elements of the diagonals are equal,

$$a_{i,i} = (AB)_{i,j} = (BA)_{i,j} = a_{j,j} \quad (9)$$

Since the diagonal of A is completely populated, and all entries are equal, we have that,

$$A = \alpha Id_n \quad (10)$$

2. (3 points). Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $r = \text{rank}(M)$. Show that there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}$ such that $M = AB$.

Given some matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that $r = \text{rank}(M)$, a rank of r implies the columns of M , denoted as $\vec{m}_1, \dots, \vec{m}_m$ are in the span of some r vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . In other words, $\vec{m}_1, \dots, \vec{m}_m \in \text{Span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)$ for some $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We then set the columns of A to be the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r$, which yields the desired shape of A , $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$. So far, we have

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \vec{m}_1 & \dots & \vec{m}_m \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_r \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \vec{b}_1 & \dots & \vec{b}_m \end{array} \right] \quad (11)$$

Where we have set b_1, \dots, b_m to be the columns of B such that $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}^r$. We hope to show,

$$M = AB \quad (12)$$

As given in (11) as well. We know that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have,

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \vec{m}_i \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_r \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \vec{b}_i \end{array} \right] = b_{i_1} \left[\begin{array}{c} \vec{v}_1 \end{array} \right] + \dots + b_{i_r} \left[\begin{array}{c} \vec{v}_r \end{array} \right] \quad (13)$$

Where b_{i_j} is the j -th component of vector \vec{b}_i . Since, for any $\vec{m}_i, \vec{m}_i \in \text{Span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)$, we see that we can take the correct linear combination of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r$ to yield m_i - we do this by selecting the values of b_{i_j} . Since this can be done for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have that there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}$ such that $M = AB$ if $r = \text{rank}(M)$.

3. (3 points). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

(a) Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be an invertible matrix. Show that

$$\text{rank}(AM) = \text{rank}(A) \tag{14}$$

Since we have the following proposition,

$$\text{Let } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \text{ and } B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}, \text{ then } \text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A). \text{ [Prop. 1]}$$

Therefore, we know that,

$$\text{rank}(AM) \leq \text{rank}(A) \tag{15}$$

But since M is invertible, we also have that,

$$\text{rank}((AM)M^{-1}) \leq \text{rank}(AM) \tag{16}$$

By *Proposition 1*. But,

$$\text{rank}((AM)M^{-1}) = \text{rank}(AId) = \text{rank}(A) \tag{17}$$

Putting this all together yields the expression,

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}((AM)M^{-1}) \leq \text{rank}(AM) \leq \text{rank}(A) \tag{18}$$

This forces the equality of the expression we set out to prove. Since M is an invertible matrix, we have,

$$\text{rank}(AM) = \text{rank}(A) \tag{19}$$

(b) Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an invertible matrix. Show that

$$\text{rank}(MA) = \text{rank}(A) \quad (20)$$

In order to show the above equality, we first set out to prove that $\text{Ker}(MA) = \text{Ker}(A)$. In order to show this, we first show that $\text{Ker}(A) \subset \text{Ker}(MA)$.

Observing the definition of the null space of A , or $\text{Ker}(A)$, we have,

If A is some $n \times m$ matrix, then the null space of A , $\mathcal{N}(A)$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid A\vec{x} = \vec{0}\} \quad (21)$$

[Def. 2]

So, for any $\vec{x} \in \text{Ker}(A)$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= \vec{0} \\ MA\vec{x} &= M\vec{0} = \vec{0} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

So, therefore, $\vec{x} \in \text{Ker}(MA)$. This suffices to show that $\text{Ker}(A) \subset \text{Ker}(MA)$. Now we show that $\text{Ker}(MA) \subset \text{Ker}(A)$.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose that M is an invertible, singular matrix and $\text{Ker}(MA) \not\subset \text{Ker}(A)$. Then this implies that there exists some vector \vec{x} such that $MA\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ but $A\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$. Call $\vec{y} = A\vec{x}$. Then this implies that $M(\vec{y}) = \vec{0}$ with $\vec{y} \neq \vec{0}$. From the following theorem,

If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an invertible matrix, then $\text{Ker}(M) = \vec{0}$. [Thm. 1]

We know that it cannot be the case that $M(\vec{y}) = \vec{0}$ with $\vec{y} \neq \vec{0}$, since $\text{Ker}(M)$ contains only the zero vector. So we have shown by contradiction that $\text{Ker}(MA) \subset \text{Ker}(A)$.

Since we have shown that $\text{Ker}(A) \subset \text{Ker}(MA)$ and $\text{Ker}(MA) \subset \text{Ker}(A)$, it follows that $\text{Ker}(MA) = \text{Ker}(A)$. Now, we also have that,

If A is some $n \times m$ matrix, then

$$\text{rank}(A) + \dim(\text{Ker}(A)) = m \quad (23)$$

[Thm. 2]

So it follows that,

$$\text{rank}(A) + \dim(\text{Ker}(A)) = m \quad (24)$$

$$\text{rank}(MA) + \dim(\text{Ker}(MA)) = m \quad (25)$$

And again, since $\text{Ker}(MA) = \text{Ker}(A)$, we have proven that,

$$\text{rank}(MA) = \text{rank}(A) \quad (26)$$

4. (2 points). The trace $Tr(M)$ of a $k \times k$ matrix M is defined as the sum of its diagonal coefficients, i.e.

$$Tr(M) = \sum_{i=1}^k M_{i,i} \quad (27)$$

- (a) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Show that $Tr(AB) = Tr(BA)$.

If we have two matrices, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the shape of AB is $n \times n$ and the shape of BA is $m \times m$, then we have,

$$(AB)_{i,i} = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{i,k} b_{k,i} \quad (28)$$

$$(BA)_{k,k} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{k,i} a_{i,k} \quad (29)$$

So then it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(AB) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (AB)_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{i,k} b_{k,i} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n b_{k,i} a_{i,k} = \sum_{k=1}^m (BA)_{k,k} \\ &= Tr(BA) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

- (b) For $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, do we have $Tr(ABC) = Tr(CAB) = Tr(ACB)$?

It is false that $Tr(ABC) = Tr(CAB) = Tr(ACB)$. Observe the following example for $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, where the trace is calculated in Python,

```

import numpy as np
A = np.array([[1,2,1],[4,2,5],[2,5,6]])
B = np.array([[1,0,1],[4,-2,5],[2,5,-1]])
C = np.array([[1,1,1],[2,2,3],[-2,5,4]])

def tdot(a,b,c):
    t = np.dot(b,c)
    f = np.dot(a,t)
    return(f)
print('Tr(ABC): ' + str(np.trace(tdot(A,B,C))))
print('Tr(CAB): ' + str(np.trace(tdot(C,A,B))))
print('Tr(ACB): ' + str(np.trace(tdot(A,C,B))))

```

```

Tr(ABC): 280
Tr(CAB): 280
Tr(ACB): 318

```

In this example, it is evident that $Tr(ABC) = Tr(CAB) = Tr(ACB)$ does not hold since $280 = 280 \neq 318$.