

DS-GA 1014 - Homework 9

Eric Niblock

November 6th, 2020

1. (2 points). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. We assume that the minimum $m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\vec{x})$ of f on \mathbb{R}^n is finite, and that the set of minimizers of f

$$\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(\vec{v}) = m\}$$

is non-empty.

- (a) Show that \mathcal{M} is a convex set.

Since f is convex, we have that for any $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha \vec{x} + (1 - \alpha) \vec{y}) \leq \alpha f(\vec{x}) + (1 - \alpha) f(\vec{y}) \quad (1)$$

Now suppose we choose two vectors from \mathcal{M} and call them \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 . We know that $m = f(\vec{v}_1) = f(\vec{v}_2)$ since this is a condition of being in set \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, since f is convex, we have,

$$f(\alpha \vec{v}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{v}_2) \leq \alpha f(\vec{v}_1) + (1 - \alpha) f(\vec{v}_2) \quad (2)$$

$$f(\alpha \vec{v}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{v}_2) \leq \alpha m + (1 - \alpha) m \quad (3)$$

$$f(\alpha \vec{v}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{v}_2) \leq m \quad (4)$$

However, $f(\alpha \vec{v}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{v}_2) < m$ is not possible, since we defined m to be the minimum value of f for all \vec{v} (and the set of all \vec{v} includes $\alpha \vec{v}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{v}_2$). Hence, it must be the case that $f(\alpha \vec{v}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{v}_2) = m$.

Note the original goal is to prove that \mathcal{M} is a convex set, which is true if for any $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have,

$$\alpha \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2 \in S \quad (5)$$

The condition on $\alpha \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2$ being in S is such that,

$$f(\alpha \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2) = m \quad (6)$$

Which has already been shown. Thus \mathcal{M} is a convex set.

(b) Show that if f is strictly convex, then \mathcal{M} has only one element.

We will proceed by contradiction. Suppose that \mathcal{M} has two or more elements. If this is the case then there exists a $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 \neq \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2$ with $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that,

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1) = f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_2) = m \quad (7)$$

Since this is a condition of being in \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, we have the definition of strict convexity, for any $\vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$f(\alpha \vec{\mathbf{x}} + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{y}}) < \alpha f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + (1 - \alpha) f(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we have,

$$f(\alpha \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2) < \alpha f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1) + (1 - \alpha) f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_2) \quad (9)$$

$$f(\alpha \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2) < m \quad (10)$$

However, this cannot be the case, because then, $f(\alpha \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_2)$ would be less than the minimum of f . Contradiction. Therefore, there cannot exist more than one element in \mathcal{M} if f is strictly convex.

2. (2 points). Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix, $\vec{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\vec{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define

$$f(x) = \vec{\mathbf{x}}^T M \vec{\mathbf{x}} + \langle \vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{b}} \rangle + c$$

f is called a quadratic function.

- (a) Compute the gradient $\nabla f(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$ and the Hessian $H_f(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$ at all $\vec{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Show that f is convex if and only if M is positive semi-definite.

We can re-write $f(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$ as,

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \vec{\mathbf{x}}^T M \vec{\mathbf{x}} + \vec{\mathbf{x}}^T \vec{\mathbf{b}} + c \quad (11)$$

Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) &= \nabla(\vec{\mathbf{x}}^T M \vec{\mathbf{x}} + \vec{\mathbf{x}}^T \vec{\mathbf{b}} + c) \\ &= \nabla(\vec{\mathbf{x}}^T M \vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \nabla(\vec{\mathbf{x}}^T \vec{\mathbf{b}}) \\ &= 2M \vec{\mathbf{x}} + \vec{\mathbf{b}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

And furthermore, we can calculate the Hessian by taking the Jacobian of the gradient,

$$H_f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{J}[\nabla f(\vec{\mathbf{x}})] = 2M \quad (13)$$

We also have the following proposition,

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice-differentiable function. We denote by H_f the Hessian matrix of f . Then f is convex if and only if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H_f(x)$ is positive semi-definite. [Prop. 1]

Therefore, if we show that when M is positive semi-definite, it is equivalent to H_f being positive semi-definite, we will have proved the original statement. If M is positive semi-definite, we have,

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}^T M \vec{\mathbf{x}} \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\vec{x}^T(2M)\vec{x} \geq 2(0) = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\vec{x}^T H_f(\vec{x}) \vec{x} \geq 0 \quad (16)$$

Therefore, when M is positive semi-definite, this is equivalent to $H_f(\vec{x})$ being positive semi-definite, and we achieve the desired result: f is convex if and only if M is positive semi-definite.

(b) In this question, we assume M to be positive semi-definite. Show that f admits a minimizer if and only if $\vec{b} \in \text{Im}(M)$.

The fact that f admits a minimizer is equivalent to saying that $\nabla f(\vec{x}) = 0$ for some \vec{x} . Equivalently, it must be the case that for some \vec{x}

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$2M\vec{x} + \vec{b} = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$2M\vec{x} = -\vec{b} \quad (19)$$

$$M\vec{x} = -\frac{\vec{b}}{2} \quad (20)$$

This equation is equivalent to the notion that \vec{b} is in the image of M , since if it were not, there would be no \vec{x} that satisfies this equation. Since all of the statements used are equivalencies, we have that f admits a minimizer if and only if $\vec{b} \in \text{Im}(M)$.

3. (3 points). We say that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strongly convex if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the function $\vec{x} \rightarrow f(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\|\vec{x}\|^2$ is convex. In other words, f is strongly convex if there exists $\alpha > 0$ and a convex function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = g(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|\vec{x}\|^2$$

- (a) Show that a strongly convex function is strictly convex. (Hint: start by showing that $\vec{x} \rightarrow \|\vec{x}\|^2$ is strictly convex).

First, we define a function,

$$h(\vec{x}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\|\vec{x}\|^2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{2}\vec{x}^T \vec{x} \quad (21)$$

Where it is evident that we have,

$$\nabla h(x) = \alpha \vec{x}^T \quad (22)$$

$$H_h(x) = \alpha Id_n \quad (23)$$

And furthermore, we have the following proposition,

If for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Hessian $H_f(x)$ is positive definite, then f is strictly convex. [Prop. 2]

Additionally, if we are to show that $H_h(x)$ is positive definite, then it must be the case that,

$$\vec{x}^T H_f(x) \vec{x} > 0 \quad (24)$$

For all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (with the exception of $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$). So then we have,

$$\vec{x}^T (\alpha Id_n) \vec{x} > 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\alpha \vec{x}^T (Id_n Id_n) \vec{x} > 0 \quad (26)$$

$$\alpha \vec{x}^T (Id_n^T Id_n) \vec{x} > 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\alpha(\vec{\mathbf{x}}^T Id_n^T)(Id_n \vec{\mathbf{x}}) > 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\alpha(Id_n \vec{\mathbf{x}})^T (Id_n \vec{\mathbf{x}}) > 0 \quad (29)$$

$$\alpha \|Id_n \vec{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 > 0 \quad (30)$$

$$\alpha \|\vec{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 > 0 \quad (31)$$

Which is obviously true for all $\vec{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha > 0$ (with the exception of $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}$). This shows that $h(x)$ is strictly convex.

We now have the following problem to solve. Given that $g(x)$ is a convex function and $h(x)$ is a strictly convex function, show that $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ is a strictly convex function. We can write the following for all $\vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t\vec{\mathbf{x}} + (1-t)\vec{\mathbf{y}}) &= g(t\vec{\mathbf{x}} + (1-t)\vec{\mathbf{y}}) + h(t\vec{\mathbf{x}} + (1-t)\vec{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &\leq tg(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + (1-t)g(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) + h(t\vec{\mathbf{x}} + (1-t)\vec{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &< tg(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + (1-t)g(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) + th(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + (1-t)h(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &= tf(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + (1-t)f(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Where the first and last lines follow directly from the definition of f , the second line follows from g being convex, and the third line follows from h being strictly convex. The inequality then forces,

$$f(t\vec{\mathbf{x}} + (1-t)\vec{\mathbf{y}}) < tf(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + (1-t)f(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) \quad (33)$$

Which proves that f , a strongly convex function, is strictly convex.

- (b) Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function. Show that ϕ is strongly convex if and only if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $\vec{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the eigenvalues of $H_\phi(x)$ are greater or equal than α .

First we note that strong convexity on ϕ implies that,

$$\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) = g(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\vec{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \quad (34)$$

$$H_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) = H_g(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \alpha Id_n \quad (35)$$

Now, $\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$ being strongly convex is equivalent to

$$\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\vec{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \quad (36)$$

Being convex, which furthermore, is equivalent to,

$$H_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha Id_n \quad (37)$$

Being positive semi-definite for some choice of α (by [*Proposition 1*]). Then, taking the smallest eigenvalue of $H_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$, λ_{min} and its corresponding eigenvector, $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$, we can write the following expressions,

$$H_\phi(x) \vec{\mathbf{v}} = \lambda_{min} \vec{\mathbf{v}} \quad (38)$$

$$H_\phi(x) \vec{\mathbf{v}} - \alpha Id_n \vec{\mathbf{v}} = \lambda_{min} \vec{\mathbf{v}} - \alpha Id_n \vec{\mathbf{v}} \quad (39)$$

$$(H_\phi(x) - \alpha Id_n) \vec{\mathbf{v}} = (\lambda_{min} - \alpha) \vec{\mathbf{v}} \quad (40)$$

But since we know that when $H_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha Id_n$ is convex, and therefore positive semi-definite, all of the eigenvalues associated with it are non-negative. Therefore, $\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$ is strongly convex, if and only if all of the eigenvalues associated with $H_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$ are greater than or equal to α .

4. (3 points). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we define

$$f(x) = \|A\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|^2$$

(a) Compute the gradient $\nabla f(x)$ and the Hessian $H_f(x)$ at all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Show that f is convex.

First, we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(x) &= \nabla (\|A\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|^2) = \nabla ((A\vec{x} - \vec{y})^T (A\vec{x} - \vec{y})) \\ &= \nabla ((\vec{x}^T A^T - \vec{y}^T)(A\vec{x} - \vec{y})) \\ &= \nabla (\vec{x}^T A^T A\vec{x} - \vec{x}^T A^T \vec{y} - \vec{y}^T A\vec{x} + \vec{y}^T \vec{y}) \\ &= \nabla (\vec{x}^T A^T A\vec{x}) - \nabla (\vec{x}^T A^T \vec{y} - \vec{y}^T A\vec{x}) \\ &= \nabla (\vec{x}^T A^T A\vec{x}) - A^T \vec{y} - (\vec{y}^T A)^T \\ &= \nabla ((A\vec{x})^T A\vec{x}) - 2A^T \vec{y} \\ &= 2A^T A\vec{x} - 2A^T \vec{y} \\ &= 2A^T (A\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Which would mean that the Hessian, $H_f(x)$, is simply,

$$H_f(x) = \mathbf{J}[\nabla f(\vec{x})] = 2A^T A \tag{42}$$

Now, from *Proposition 1*, we know that f is convex if $H_f(x)$ is positive semi-definite. For all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we must show that,

$$\vec{x}^T H_f(x) \vec{x} \geq 0 \tag{43}$$

However, this is clearly true, since,

$$\vec{x}^T H_f(x) \vec{x} \geq 0 \tag{44}$$

$$2\vec{x}^T (A^T A) \vec{x} \geq 0 \tag{45}$$

$$2\vec{x}^T (A^T A) \vec{x} \geq 0 \tag{46}$$

$$2(\vec{x}^T A^T)(A\vec{x}) \geq 0 \quad (47)$$

$$2(A\vec{x})^T(A\vec{x}) \geq 0 \quad (48)$$

$$2\|A\vec{x}\|^2 \geq 0 \quad (49)$$

Which is obviously true, since the norm of $A\vec{x}$ will be non-negative for all values of \vec{x} . Therefore, $H_f(x)$ is positive semi-definite and f is convex.

(b) Show that if $\text{rank}(A) < m$, then f is not strictly convex.

If $\text{rank}(A) < m$, then A is not full-rank, and $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is populated with at least one non-trivial \vec{x} . In other words, there exists some $\vec{x} \neq 0$ such that,

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad (50)$$

Then we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) &= \|A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) - \vec{y}\|^2 \\ &= \|A\vec{u} + A\vec{v} - \vec{y}\|^2 \\ &= \|A\vec{u} - \vec{y}\|^2 = f(\vec{u}) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Now, in order to show that f is strictly convex, it must be the case that for all $\vec{v}, \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, 1)$.

$$f(t\vec{v} + (1-t)\vec{u}) < tf(\vec{v}) + (1-t)f(\vec{u}) \quad (52)$$

If we use $\vec{u} = \vec{0}$ and $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{N}(A)$, we get,

$$\|A(t\vec{v} + (1-t)\vec{u}) - \vec{y}\|^2 < t\|A\vec{v} - \vec{y}\|^2 + (1-t)\|A\vec{u} - \vec{y}\|^2 \quad (53)$$

$$\|tA\vec{v} + (1-t)A\vec{u} - \vec{y}\|^2 < t\|A\vec{v} - \vec{y}\|^2 + (1-t)\|A\vec{u} - \vec{y}\|^2 \quad (54)$$

$$\|-\vec{y}\|^2 < t\|-\vec{y}\|^2 + (1-t)\|-\vec{y}\|^2 \quad (55)$$

$$\|-\vec{y}\|^2 < \|-\vec{y}\|^2 \quad (56)$$

This is clearly not true. So, if $\text{rank}(A) < m$, f is not strictly convex.

- (c) Show that if $\text{rank}(A) = m$, then f is strongly convex (use the definition and results of *Problem 9.3*).

If we can show that,

$$H_f(\vec{x}) - \alpha Id_n \quad (57)$$

$$2A^T A - \alpha Id_n \quad (58)$$

is positive semi-definite, then we will have shown that f is strongly convex (*Problem 3b*). Since $\text{rank}(A) = m$, we know that $A^T A$ is positive-definite, since,

$$\vec{x}^T A^T A \vec{x} > 0 \quad (59)$$

$$(A\vec{x})^T A\vec{x} > 0 \quad (60)$$

$$\|A\vec{x}\|^2 > 0 \quad (61)$$

Because $A\vec{x} \neq 0$ for all $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$. Therefore, $2A^T A$ has all positive eigenvalues. Taking from *Problem 3b*, we observe the eigenvalue equation relating to the smallest eigenvalue of $2A^T A$ to be

$$(2A^T A - \alpha Id_n)\vec{v} = (\lambda_{min} - \alpha)\vec{v} \quad (62)$$

And if we choose α to be λ_{min} , then the smallest eigenvalue of $2A^T A - \alpha Id_n$ becomes zero. Hence, $2A^T A - \alpha Id_n$, is positive semi-definite, and f is strongly convex, given that $\text{rank}(A) = m$.